On strongly faintly M- θ -i-continuous functions in Bi-Supra Topological Space

Taha H. Jasim¹, Reem O. Rasheed²

¹Department of Mathematics, college of computer science and Mathematics, University of Tikrit, Tikrit, Iraq ²Department of Mathematics, college of Education for pure sciences, University of Tikrit, Tikrit, Iraq

Abstract.

In this paper we introduces a new definition, called i- open and via this definition we introduce class of topological concepts (μ - θ -i-open set, μ - θ -i-closed, strong faintly μ - θ -continuity, strong μ - θ -continuity) and we generalized these concepts in bi-supra topological space. At last many important theorems in strongly faintly M- θ -i-continuous functions are investigated. And study the relationships among these functions and other forms are discussed.

Key Words and Phrases: bi-supra topological space ,strongly μ - θ - i-continuity, strongly faintly μ - i -continuity.

1. Introduction

Nasef and Noiri [1] introduce three classes of strong forms of faintly continuity namely: strongly faint semicontinuity, strongly faint precontinuity and strongly faint β-continuity. Recently Nasef [2] defined strong forms of faint continuity under the terminologies strongly faint α-continuity and strongly faint γ -continuity. In this paper using μ - θ - i -open sets, strongly faintly μ - θ - i -continuity is introduced and studied in bi-supra topological spaces (Let X be non-empty set, let So(X) be the set of all semi open subset of X non-empty set, let So(X) be the set of all semi open subset of X (for short ST) and let $\mathcal{P}o(X)$ be the set of all pre open subset of X(for short $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{T}$), then we say that $(X,\mathcal{S}\mathcal{T},\mathcal{P}\mathcal{T})$ is a bi-supra topological space, when each of (X,ST) and (X,PT)is a supra topological space)[3] Moreover, basic properties and preservation theorems of strongly faintly μ - θ - i - continuous functions are investigated and relationships between strongly faintly μ - θ - i continuous functions and graphs are investigated.

2. Preliminaries.

Throughout this paper (X, \mathcal{T}_x) and (Y, \mathcal{T}_y) (Simply, X and Y) represent topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed, unless otherwise mentioned. The closure of subset A of X, the interior of A and the complement of A is denoted by cl(A), int(A) and A^c or X\A respectively [3] A subset A of a space X is said to be regular open if it is the interior of its closure, i.e, A = int(cl(A)). The complement of a regular- open set is referred to as a regular -closed set. A union of regular-open sets is called δ -open [4] The complement of a δ -open set is referred to as a δ closed set. A subset A of a space(X, \mathcal{T}_x) is called θ open set [5] if there exists an open set U containing x such that $U\subseteq cl(U)\subseteq A$. The set of all θ -interior points of A is said to be the θ -interior set and denoted by θ -int (A). A subset A of X is called θ -open if A = θ - int (A) The family of all θ - open sets in bi-supra topological space (X, T_x) forms a supra topology \mathcal{T}_x on X. A subset A of a space (X,\mathcal{T}_x) is called semi-open [6] (resp, α-open [7], pre-open [8] β-open γ - open [10]) if $A \subseteq cl(int(A)(resp , A \subseteq$ $int(cl(int(A)) \ A \subseteq int(cl(A)) \ A \subseteq cl(int(cl(A)),$ cl(int(A))). The complement of semi-open (resp. α - open , pre-open , β -open , γ -open) set is called semi-closed (resp. α -closed , pre-closed , β - closed , γ -closed [11]).

A of a space (X, \mathcal{T}_x) is called semi- θ -open (resp. α - θ -open [12], pre- θ -open β - θ -open γ - θ open[12])if and only if for each $x \in A$ there exists G∈ semi-open (resp, α-open ,pre-open ,β-open , γ -open) such that semi-CL(G) \subseteq A (resp. α -CL(G) \subseteq A, pre- CL(G) \subseteq A, β -CL(G) \subseteq A, γ - CL(G) \subseteq A). The union of all θ -open (resp, semi- θ -open, δ -open,) sets contained in A is called the θ -interior (resp, semi- θ -interior [2], δ -interior) of A and it is denoted by θ - int(A) (resp., semi- θ -int(A), δ -int (A)). The intersection of all θ -closed (resp., semi- θ - closed, δ - closed,) sets containing A is called the θ- closure (resp., semi- θ - closure, δ - closure [13]) of A and it is denoted by θ - cl(A) (resp., semi- θ -cl(A), δ -cl(A)). We recall the following definitions and results, which are useful in the sequel

Definition 2.1[14]: Let (X, \mathcal{T}_X) be a topological space. Then a subset A of X is said to be: (i) an M-open set, if $A \subseteq cl(int_{\theta}(A))$ [$int(cl_{\delta}(A))$,

(ii) an M-closed set if int($cl_{\theta}(A)$) \ $cl(int_{\delta}(A)) \subseteq A$.

Definition 2.2[14]: Let (X, \mathcal{T}_x) be a topological space and $A \subseteq X$. Then:

(i) the M-interior of A is the union of all M-open sets contained in A and is denoted by M-int(A),
(ii) the M-closure of A is the intersection of all M-closed sets containing A and is denoted by M-cl(A)

Definition 2.3[15]: A function $f:(X, \mathcal{T}_x) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{T}_y)$ is said to be:

- (i) M-continuous [14] if $f^{-1}(U) \in M$ -open in X, for each $U \in \mathcal{T}_v$
- (ii) pre-M-open [16] if, $f(U) \in M$ -open in Y, for each $U \in M$ open in X.
- (iii) pre-M-closed [11] if, $f(U) \in M$ -closed in Y , for each $U \in M$ closed in X.

Definition 2.4: A function $f:(X,\mathcal{T}_x) \to (Y,\mathcal{T}_y)$ is said to be strongly faintly continuous [17] (resp. strongly faintly semicontinuous, strongly faintly pre continuous [18], strongly faintly β-continuous [18], strongly faintly α-continuous [1], strongly faintly γ- continuous[2]) i f for each $x \in X$

and each open (resp.semi- open, pre -open, β -open, α -open, γ -open) set V of Y containing f(x), there exists a θ -open set U of X containing x such that $f(U) \subset V$

3. A new type of bi-supra topological space

Definitions 3.1: Let $(X, ST_x, \mathcal{P}T_x)$ be a bi-supra topological space, and let G be a subset of X. Then G is said to be i- open set if G=(AUB) UØ where $A \in ST$ and $B \in \mathcal{P}T$. The Complement of i- open set is called i- closed set.

Definitions 3.2: A subset A of a space $(X,ST_x, \mathcal{P}T_x)$ is called θ -i-open set if there exists an i- open set U containing x such that $U \subseteq i$ - $cl(U) \subseteq A$.

Definitions 3.3: The set of all θ -i-interior points of A is said to be the θ -i-interior set and denoted by θ -i-int (A). A subset A of X is called θ -i-open if A = θ -i- int (A).

Definitions 3.4: A of a space $(X, \mathcal{ST}_x, \mathcal{PT}_x)$ is called semi- θ-i-open (resp. α- θ-i-open, pre- θ-i-open, β-i-open, γ- θ-i-open) if and only if for each $x \in A$ there exists G in semi-i-open (resp., α-i-open, pre-i-open, β-i-open, γ-i-open) such that semi-i-CL(G) $\subseteq A$ (resp. α-i CL(G) $\subseteq A$, γ-i- CL(G) $\subseteq A$, γ-i- CL(G) $\subseteq A$).

Definitions 3.5: A subset A of a space (X, ST_x, PT_x) is called M-i-open if $A \subseteq i$ - $cl(\theta-i)$ int(A)) \cup i- $int(\delta -i-cl(A))$. The complement of semii-open (resp., α-i-open, pre-i-open, β-i-open, γ-iopen ,semi- θ -i-open, θ -i-open, M-i-open) set is called semi-i-closed (resp., α-i-closed, pre-i-closed, β-iclosed, γ -i- closed, semi- θ -i- closed, θ -i- closed, Mi- closed) . The union of all M-i-open (resp. θ -iopen, semi- θ -i-open, δ -i-open,) sets contained in A is called the M-i-interior (resp. θ -i-interior ,semi- θ - iinterior , δ -i-interior) of A and it is denoted by M-iint(A) (resp. . θ -i- int(A), semi. θ -i-int(A), δ -i-int (A)). The intersection of all M-i-closed (resp. . θ -iclosed ,semi- θ -i- closed, δ -i- closed,) sets containing A is called the M-i-closure (resp. θ-i- closure ,semi- θ -i- closure, δ -i- closure) of A and it is denoted by M-i-cl(A) (resp. θ -i- cl(A), semi. θ -i-cl(A), δ -i-

Definition 3.6: A subset A of a space $(X,\mathcal{ST}_x,\mathcal{PT}_x)$ is called M- θ -i- open if and only if for each $x \in A$ there exists G in M-i-open in X such that M-i-cl(G) $\subset A$

Also , clearly every M- θ -i- open (resp. M- θ -i- closed) set is M-i- open (rsp. M-i- closed) set. And every θ -i- open (resp. θ -i- closed) set is M-i- open (rsp. M-i- closed).

Example 3.1. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\mathcal{T}_x = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$

{ \emptyset ,{b, c}, {b}, {a}, X} , \mathcal{ST}_x = { \emptyset , {a}, {a, c}, {b, c}, X}= \mathcal{T}_x^c

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{PT}_{X} &= \{ \ \emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a,b\} \ \{a,c\}, \{b,c\}, X \} \\ \text{i-open in } X &= \{ \ \emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a,b\} \ \{a,c\}, \{b,c\}, X \}, \text{i-closed in } X = \{ \ \emptyset, \ \{b,c\} \ \{a,b\}, \{c\}, \{b\}, \{a\}, X \} \end{split}$$

 $= \{ \emptyset, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, X\} \text{ in } X \text{ θ-i- open}$

= { \emptyset , {c}, {a}, X} in X θ -i-closed

Regular –i-open in $X = \{ \emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, X \}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \delta\text{-i-open in X=} \; \{\;\emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\} \; \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, X\} \\ \delta\text{-i-closed in X=} \; \{\;\emptyset, \{b, c\} \; \{a, b\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a\} \; , X\} \\ M\text{-i-open in X=} \; \{\;\emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, X\} \\ M\text{-i-closed in X} = \{\;\emptyset, \; \{b, c\} \; \{a, b\}, \{c\}, \{b\}, \{a\} \; , X\} \\ M\text{-}\theta\text{-i- open in X=} \; \{\;\emptyset, \; \{a, b\} \; \{b, c\} \; , X\} \end{array}$

Then $\{a\},\{c\}$ are M-i-open set but not M- θ -i- open set in X .

Definition 3.7: The M- θ -i-closure of a subset A in bisupra topological space $(X,\mathcal{ST}_x$, \mathcal{PT}_x) is denoted by M- θ -i-cl(A) and is defined to be the set of all points x of X such that for each G in M- θ -i- open in X, M- θ -i-cl(G) \cap A \neq \emptyset .

Definition 3.8: A subset A in bi-supra topological space $(X,\mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_x$, $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{T}_x$) is said to be M- θ -i-closed if M- θ -i-cl(A) = A. The complement of a M- θ -i- closed set is called a M- θ -i -open set.

We recall the following definitions and results, which are useful in the sequel.

Definition 3.10: A function $f:(X,\mathcal{T}_x) \to (Y,\mathcal{T}_y)$ (resp, $f:(X,\mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_x,\mathcal{P}\mathcal{T}_x) \to (Y,\mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_y,\mathcal{P}\mathcal{T}_y)$ is said to be: (i) θ -continuous [19](resp. θ -i -continuous), if $f^{-1}(V)$ is θ -open (resp. θ -i-open) in X for every open (resp. i- open)set V of Y.

(ii) quasi θ -continuous [20](resp. quasi θ -i-continuous), if $f^{-1}(V)$ is θ -open(resp. θ -i-open)set in X for every θ -open (resp. θ -i-open) set V of Y.

(iii)faintly continuous [15](resp. faintly i-continuous) if $f^{-1}(V)$ is open(resp. i-open)set in X for every θ -open (resp. θ -i-open) set V of Y.

Definition 3.11: A function $f:(X, \mathcal{T}_x) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{T}_y)(\text{resp}, f:(X,\mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_x, \mathcal{P}\mathcal{T}_x) \rightarrow (Y,\mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_y, \mathcal{P}\mathcal{T}_y))$ is said to be:

- (i) M-continuous [14] (resp, M-i-continuous) if f^{-1} (U) \in M-open(resp, M-i-open) set in X , for each U Y
- (ii) pre-M-open [16](resp, pre-M- θ -i –open) if, f(U) \in M-open (resp, M- θ -i-open) set in Y, for each U \in M-open(resp, M- θ -i-open) set in X.
- (iii) pre-M-closed [16] (resp, pre-M- θ -i -closed) if, $f(U) \in M$ -closed (resp, pre-M- θ -i -closed) set in Y, for each $U \in M$ closed(resp, pre-M- θ -i -closed)set in X

Lemma 3.1:For topological space (X,\mathcal{F}_x) (resp,bisupra topological space $(X,\mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_x,\mathcal{P}\mathcal{T}_x)$ and $A\subseteq X$, then the following statements are hold:

(i) If $A \subseteq Fi$, Fi is an M-closed [15](resp. M-i-closed)set of X, then $A \subseteq M$ -cl(A) $\subseteq Fi$,(resp.M-i-cl(A) $\subseteq Fi$).

(ii) If $Gi \subseteq A$, Gi is an M-open[14] (resp. M-i-open) set of X, then $Gi \subseteq M$ -int(A) $\subseteq A$ (resp. $Gi \subseteq M$ -i-int(A) $\subseteq A$).

Proposition 3.1: Let (X, \mathcal{T}_x) topological space (resp. $(X, \mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_x, \mathcal{P}\mathcal{T}_x)$) be bi-supra topological space) and $A \subseteq X$. Then the following statements are hold:

(i) θ - F_r (A) = θ -cl (A) \ θ -int (A))[9] (resp. θ -i- F_r (A) = θ -i cl (A) \(θ -i int (A))

(ii) M- F_r (A) = M-cl(A) \ M-int(A) [13] (resp.M-i- F_r (A) = M-i-cl(A) \ (M-i-int(A))

(iii) M- $b(A) = A\backslash M$ -int(A) [11] (resp. M-i- $b(A) = A\backslash M$ -i-int(A)).

The set of θ -boundary (resp. θ -i-boundary ,M-i-boundary, M-i-border) of A is denoted by θ - F_r (A) (resp. θ -i- F_r (A),M-i- F_r (A), M-i-b(A)).

4. strongly faintly M-θ-i -continuous functions.

Definition 4.1: A function $f:(X, \mathcal{ST}_x, \mathcal{PT}_x) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{ST}_y, \mathcal{PT}_y)$ is said to be strongly faintly M- θ -i-continuous if for each $x \in X$ and each M- θ -i-open set V of Y containing f(x), there exists a θ -i-open set U of X containing x such that $f(U) \subseteq V$

Remark 4.1: The implication between some types of definitions (3.9) and (4.1) are given by the following diagram.

The proof of implication form definition directly .However, none of these implications is reversible as shown by the following examples and well- known facts.

```
Example 4.1 : Let X=Y = \{a, b, c\}
and \mathcal{T}_{x} = \{ \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, X \}
\{\emptyset, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}, \{c\}, \{b\}, X\} = \mathcal{T}_x^c
\{b\}, \{a, b\} \{a, c\}, X\}
i-open in X = \{ \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\} \{a, c\}, X \}
i-closed in X = \{ \emptyset, \{b, c\} \{a, c\}, \{c\}, \{b\}, X\}, \theta-i-
open in X=\{\emptyset, \{a, c\}, X\}
with \mathcal{T}_Y = \{ \emptyset, \{a, c\}, Y \}
\{\emptyset,\{b\}, Y\} = \mathcal{T}_Y^c
\mathcal{ST}_{Y} = \{ \emptyset, \{a, c\}, Y \}, \mathcal{PT}_{Y} = \{ \emptyset, \{b\}, \{a, b\} \{b, c\}, 
Y}
i-open in Y = \{ \emptyset, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, Y\} , i-
closed in Y = \{ \emptyset, \{a, c\}, \{c\}, \{b\}, \{a\}, Y \}
semi-i-open in Y={ \emptyset,{b}, {a, b} {a, c},{b,c}, Y}
semi-i-closed in Y = \{ \emptyset, \{a, c\} \{ c\}, \{b\}, \{a\}, Y \} \}
semi- \theta-i- open in Y={ \emptyset, {a, c}, Y}
\gamma-i-open in Y={ \emptyset, {a}, {b}, {a,b} {a, c}, {b,c} Y}
\gamma-i-closed in Y={ \emptyset, {b,c}, {a,c}, {c} {b}, {a} Y}
```

define the function $f:(X,\mathcal{ST}_x\,,\,\mathcal{PT}_x\,)\!\!\to\!(Y,\mathcal{ST}_y\,,\,\mathcal{PT}_y\,)$ by the identity function . then f is strongly faintly semi- θ -i-continuous but not strongly faintly γ - θ -i-continuous.

Example 4.2: Let R be the set of real numbers \mathcal{T}_x the indiscrete topology for R and \mathcal{T}_Y the discrete topology for R.

Then the identity function $f:(X,\mathcal{T}_x)\to (X,\mathcal{T}_y)$ (resp, $f:(X,\mathcal{ST}_x,\mathcal{PT}_x)\to (Y,\mathcal{ST}_y,\mathcal{PT}_y)$) is strongly faintly pre-continuous but not strongly faintly γ -continuous [9] (resp, strongly faintly pre- θ -i-continuous but not strongly faintly γ - θ -i-continuous).

Example 4.3: Let $\mathcal{T}x$ be the uasul topology for R and $\mathcal{T}_Y = \{ R, \emptyset , [0,1] \cup (1,2) \cap Q \}$ where Q denotes the set of the set of rational numbers. Then the identity function .

 $f:(X,\mathcal{T}_x) \to (X$, \mathcal{T}_y)(resp, $f:(R,\mathcal{ST}_x$, \mathcal{PT}_x) \to $(R,\mathcal{ST}_y$, \mathcal{PT}_y)) is strongly faintly γ - continuous but not strongly faintly β -continuous[20] (resp, strongly faintly γ - θ -i-continuous but not strongly faintly β - θ -i-continuous.

Example 4.4: (1):in ([1], example 3.2) showed a strongly faintly semi-continuity (resp,strongly faintly semi- θ -i-continuity) which is not a strongly faintly pre-continuity. (resp,strongly faintly pre- θ -i-continuity).

(2): using example 3.2 of [8] , this easily observed that a strongly faintly α -continuity(resp, faintly α - θ -i-continuity) but not strongly faintly M -continuity (resp, not strongly faintly M - θ -i-continuity) .

Theorem 4.1: For a function $f:(X,\mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_x\,,\,\mathcal{P}\mathcal{T}_x\,)\to (Y,\,\,\mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_y\,,\,\,\mathcal{P}\mathcal{T}_y\,\,)$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) f is strongly faintly M θ -i-continuous.
- (ii) f: $(X, \mathcal{ST}_x, \mathcal{PT}_x) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{ST}_y, \mathcal{PT}_y)$ is faintly-icontinuous.
- (iii) f^{-1} (V) is θ -i-open in X for every M θ -i -open set V of Y (iv) f^{-1} (F) is θ -i-closed in X for every M θ -i -closed subset F of Y.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (iii): Let V be an M - θ -i -open set of Y and $x \in f^{-1}$ (V). Since $f(x) \in V$ and f is strongly faint M - θ -i -continuous, there exists a θ -i-open set U of X containing x such that $f(U) \subset V$. It follows that $x \in U \subset f^{-1}$ (V).

Hence $f^{-1}(V)$ is θ -i-open in X.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): Let $x \in X$ and V be an $M - \theta$ -i -open set of Y containing f(x). By (iii), $f^{-1}(V)$ is a θ -i-open set containing x. Take $U = f^{-1}(V)$. Then $f(U) \subseteq V$.

This shows that f is strongly faint M - θ -i -continuous. (iii) \Rightarrow (iv): Let V be any M - θ -i -closed set of Y. Since Y \ V is an M - θ -i -open set, by (iii), it follows that $f^{-1}(Y|V) = X|f^{-1}(V)$ is θ -i-open. This shows that $f^{-1}(V)$ is θ -i-closed in X.

(iv) \Rightarrow (iii): Let V be an M - θ -i -open set of Y. Then Y\V is M - θ -i -closed in Y. By(iv), f^{-1} (Y\V) = X\ f^{-1} (V) is θ -i-closed and thus f^{-1} (V) is θ -i-open.(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii): Clear.

Now we intuduce a very important theorem to explam the equivalence implication.

Theorem 4.2: For a function $f:(X,\mathcal{ST}_x,\mathcal{PT}_x)\to (Y,\mathcal{ST}_y,\mathcal{PT}_y)$, the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) f is strongly faintly M- θ -i -continuous,
- (ii) For each $x \in X$ and each M- θ -i -open V of f(x) in Y, there exists an θ -i -open set U of x in X such that $f(U) \subseteq V$,
- (iii) f^{-1} (F) is θ -i -closed in X, for every M- θ -i-closed set F of Y,
- (iv) θ -i $-cl(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(M-\theta$ -i cl(B)), for each $B \subseteq Y$,
- (v) $f(\theta-i cl(A)) \subseteq M-\theta-i cl (f(A))$, for each $A \subseteq X$, (vi) $f^{-1} (M-\theta-i - int(B)) \subseteq \theta-i - int(f^{-1}(B))$, for each $B \subset V$
- (vii) θ -i -b (f^{-1} (B)) $\subseteq f^{-1}$ (M- θ -i- b(B)) , for each B \subseteq Y,
- (viii) θ -i -Fr($f^{-1}(B)$) $\subseteq f^{-1}(M-\theta$ -i -Fr(B)), for each $B \subseteq Y$.
- **Proof.** (i)—(ii). Let $x \in X$ and $V \subseteq Y$ be A M- θ -i-open set containing f(x). Then $x \in f^{-1}(V)$. Hence by hypothesis, $f^{-1}(V)$ is θ -i-open set of X containing x. We put $U = f^{-1}(V)$.

Then $x \in U$ and $f(U) \subseteq V$.

- (ii)—(iii)—(iii). Let $F \subseteq Y$ be M- θ -i-closed. Then Y\F is M- θ -i-open and $x \in f^{-1}$ (Y\F). Then $f(x) \in Y$ \F. Hence by hypothesis, there exists an θ -i-open set U containing x such that $f(U) \subseteq Y$ \F, this implies that, $x \in U \subseteq f^{-1}$ (Y\F). Therefore, f^{-1} (Y\F) = $X \setminus f^{-1}$ (F) which is θ -i-open in X. Therefore, f^{-1} (F) is θ -i closed.
- (iii) \rightarrow (i). Let $V \subseteq Y$ be a M- θ -i-open set. Then Y\V is M- θ -i-closed in Y. By hypothesis, f^{-1} (Y\V) = X\ f^{-1} (V) is θ -i -closed and hence f^{-1} (V) is θ -i-open. Therefore, f is strongly faintly M- θ -i -continuous.
- (i) \rightarrow (iv). Since $B \subseteq M$ - θ -i $cl(B) \subseteq Y$ which is a M- θ -i-closed set. Then by hypothesis, f^{-1} ($cl\theta(B)$) is θ -i-closed in X. Hence by Lemma 2.1, θ -i- $cl(f^{-1}(B))$ $\subseteq f$ -1(M- θ -i cl(B)) for each $B \subseteq Y$.
- (iv) \rightarrow (v). Let $A\subseteq X$. Then $f(A)\subseteq Y$, hence by hypothesis, θ -i $-cl(A)\subseteq \theta$ -i $-cl(f^{-1}(f(A)))\subseteq f^{-1}(M-\theta$ -i cl(f(A))). Therefore, $f(\theta$ -i $-cl(A))\subseteq f^{-1}(M-\theta$ -i $-cl(f(A)))\subseteq M$ θ -i -cl(f(A)), (v) \rightarrow (i). Let $V\subseteq Y$ be a M- θ -i-closed set. Then, $f^{-1}(V)\subseteq X$. Hence, by hypothesis, $f(\theta$ -i $-cl(f^{-1}V)))\subseteq M$ θ -i $-cl(f(f^{-1}(V)))\subseteq M$ θ -i -cl(V)=V. Thus θ -i $-cl(f^{-1}(V))\subseteq f^{-1}(V)$ and hence $f^{-1}(V)\in \theta$ -i -closed in X. Hence, f is strongly faintly M- θ -i -continuous,
- (i) \rightarrow (vi). SinceM -0-i int(B) \subseteq B \subseteq Y is M- 0-i-open. Then by hypothesis, f^{-1} (M- 0-i -int(B)) is an 0-i -open set in X. Hence, by Lemma 2.1, f^{-1} (M- 0-i -int(B)) \subseteq 0-i -int(f^{-1} (B)), for each B \subseteq Y.
- (vi) \rightarrow (i). Let $V \subseteq Y$ be a M- θ -i-open set. Then by assumption, $f^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}(M \theta i int(V)) \subseteq \theta i int(f^{-1}(V))$. Hence, $f^{-1}(V)$ is θ -i -open in X. Therefore, f is strongly faintly $M \theta$ -i continuous.-
- (vi) \rightarrow (vii). Let $V \subseteq Y$. Then by hypothesis, f^{-1} (M- θ -i -int(V)) $\subseteq \theta$ -i -int($f^{-1}(V)$) and so $f^{-1}(V) \setminus \theta$ -i -int($f^{-1}(V)$) $\subseteq f^{-1}(V) \setminus f^{-1}(M-\theta$ -i -int(V)) =

```
f^{-1} (V\M- θ-i - int(V)). By Proposition (3.1), θ-i - b(f^{-1} (V)) ⊆ f^{-1} (M- θ-i-b(V)). (vii) → (vi). Let V ⊆ Y. Then by hypothesis, f^{-1} (V) \ θ-i -int(f^{-1} (W)) ⊆ f^{-1} (W) \ f^{-1} (M- θ-i int(V)). Therefore, f^{-1} (M- θ-i -int(V)) ⊆ θ-i -int(f^{-1} (V)). (vi) → (viii). Let B ⊆ Y. Then by (vi), f^{-1} (M- θ-i -int(B)) ⊆ θ-i -int(f^{-1} (B)) . Hence by (iv), θ-i -cl(f^{-1} (B)) \ θ-i -int(f^{-1}-(B)) ⊆ f^{-1} (M- θ-i -cl(B)) \ f^{-1} (M- θ-i -int(B)). So, by Proposition (3.1), θ-i -Fr(f^{-1} (B)) ⊆ f^{-1} (M- θ-i -Fr(B)), for each B ⊆ Y. (viii) →(vi). Let B ⊆ Y. Then by Proposition(3.1), θ-i -Fr(f^{-1} (B)) = θ-i -cl(f^{-1} (B)) \ θ-i -int(f^{-1} (B)) ⊆ f^{-1} (M- θ-i -int(B)) this implies that f^{-1} (M- θ-i -int(B)) ⊆ θ-i -int(f^{-1} (B)), for each
```

Definition 4.2: A function $f:(X, ST_x, PT_x) \rightarrow (Y, ST_y, PT_y)$ is said to be strongly M- θ -icontinuous, if for each $x \in X$ and each i-open set V of Y containing f(x), there exists $U \in M$ -i- open in X such that f(M-i- $cl(U)) \subseteq V$.

Proposition 4.1: If a function $f:(X,\mathcal{ST}_x,\mathcal{PT}_x) \rightarrow (Y,\mathcal{ST}_y,\mathcal{PT}_y)$ is strongly faintly M- θ -i-continuous then f is strongly M- θ -i-continuous .

Remark 4.2: the converse of the above proposition is not true as shown by the following example.

Example 4.5: Let X=Y = {a, b, c} with topologies $\mathcal{T}_X = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, X\}$ { $\emptyset, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}, \{c\}, \{b\}, X\} = \mathcal{T}_x^c$ $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_x = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, X\}$, $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{T}_x = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, X\}$ i-open in X = { $\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, X\}$ i-closed in X = { $\emptyset, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}, \{c\}, \{b\}, X\}$

 $\theta\text{-i-}$ open in X={ $\emptyset,\{a,\,c\},X\}$, $\theta\text{-i-}$ closed in X={ $\emptyset,\{b\},X\}$

regular –i-open in X = { \emptyset , {b},{c}, {a, c}, X} δ -i-open in X= { \emptyset , {b},{c}, {a, c},{b,c}, X}, δ -i-closed in X= { \emptyset ,{a, c},{a,b},{a},{b}, X}

M-i- open in $X = \{ \emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\} \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, X\},$ M-i- closed in $X = \{ \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\} \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, X\},$

And let $\mathcal{T}_Y = \{ \emptyset, \{a, c\}, Y \}, \{ \emptyset, \{b\}, Y \} = \mathcal{T}_V^c$

 $\mathcal{ST}_{Y} = \{ \emptyset, \{a, c\}, Y\}, \mathcal{PT}_{Y} = \{ \emptyset, \{b\}, \{a,b\} \{b, c\}, Y\}$

i-open in Y = { \emptyset ,{b}, {a, b} {a, c},{b,c},Y }, i-closed in Y = { \emptyset ,{a, c} { c},{b},{a},Y },

 $\theta\text{-i-}$ open in Y={ $\emptyset,\{a,\ c\},Y\},\ \theta\text{-i-}$ closed in Y={ $\emptyset,\{b\},Y\},$

regular -i-open in $Y = \{ \emptyset, \{b\}, \{a, c\}, Y \}$

 $\delta\text{-i-open}$ in Y= { Ø, {b}, {a, c}, Y}, $\delta\text{-i-closed}$ in Y= { Ø, {b}, {a, c}, Y},

M-i- open in $Y = \{ \emptyset, \{b\}, \{a, b\} \{a, c\}, Y \}$

M-i- closed in Y= { \emptyset ,{a},{b},{c}, {a, b} {a, c}, {b,c},Y}

M- θ -i- open in Y={ \emptyset , {a,b},{a, c},Y}

define the function $f:(X,\mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_x\ ,\ \mathcal{P}\mathcal{T}_x\)\longrightarrow (Y,\mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_y\ ,\ \mathcal{P}\mathcal{T}_y\)$ by the identity function . then f is strongly M- θ -i-continuous but not strongly faintly M- θ -i-continuous.

Proposition 4.1: If $f:(X, \mathcal{ST}_x, \mathcal{PT}_x) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{ST}_y, \mathcal{PT}_y)$ is strongly faintly M- θ -i-continuous then:

- (i) f is quasi θ -i-continuous
- (ii) f is faintly i- continuous.

Proof.(i): Let $x \in X$ and $V \subseteq Y$ be M- θ -i-open containing f(x), then there exist an θ -i-open set U such that $f(U) \subseteq V$ since every M- θ -i-open is θ -i-open set if $f^{-1}(V) \in \theta$ -i-open in X for every $V \in \theta$ -i-open in Y then f is quasi θ -i-continuous . (ii) similar (i)

Definition 4.3: A function $f:(X,\mathcal{ST}_x,\mathcal{PT}_x) \rightarrow (Y,\mathcal{ST}_y,\mathcal{PT}_y)$ is called weakly-M- θ -i -continuous if, for each $x \in X$ and each i-open set V of Y containing f(x), there exists M- θ -i -open in X such that $f(U) \subseteq i\text{-cl}(V)$.

Theorem 4.4: the following statements are hold for function

- $\begin{array}{lll} f & : & (X, \ \mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_x \ , \ \mathcal{P}\mathcal{T}_x \) {\longrightarrow} & (Y, \ \mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_y \ , \ \mathcal{P}\mathcal{T}_y \) & \text{and} & g : \\ (Y, \mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_y \ , \ \mathcal{P}\mathcal{T}_y \) {\longrightarrow} & (Z, \mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_z \ , \mathcal{P}\mathcal{T}_z \) \end{array}$
- (i) If, f is quasi θ -i-continuous and g is strongly faintly M- θ -i-continuous, then
- g o f is strongly faintly M- θ -i-continuous,
- (ii) If, f is strongly faintly M- θ -i-continuous and g is weakly-M θ -i -continuous, then g o f is θ -i -continuous.

References

- [1] A.A. Nase, T. Noiri, Strong forms of faint continuity, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A. Math, 19, 21-28, (1998)
- [2] A.A. Nase, Recent progress in the theory of faint continuity, Mathematical and Computer Modelling, 49, 536-541, (2009),
- [3] Firas N. AL-Zandi, A new type of bi-supra topological spaces, Thesis in, A.D., 2014
- [4] M.H. Stone, Application of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology, Tams. , 41, 375-381, (1937)
- [5] P.E. Long, L.L. Herrington, The $\tau\theta$ -topology and faintly continuous functions, Kyungpook Math. J. 22, 7–14, (1982)
- [6] N. Levine, Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly, 68 ,44-46, (1961)
- [7] O. Njåstad, On some classes of nearly open sets, Pacfic J. Math. 15, 961–970, (1965)
- [8] A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd-El Monsef, and S. N. El-Deeb, On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings, Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt, 53, 47–53, (1982)
- [9] M. E. Abd El-Monsef, El-Deeb S. N. and R. A. Mahmoud, β-Open sets and β-continuous mappings, Bul l. Fac. Sci. Assiut Univ., 12, 77–90, (1983)
- [10] A.A. El-Atik, A study on some types of mappings on topological spaces, M. Sc. Thesis Tanta Univ., Egypt (1997).

Proof. (i) Let $V \subseteq Z$ be M- θ -i-open set and g be strongly faintly M- θ -i-continuous,, then $g^{-1}(V) \in \theta$ -i-open in Y. But f is quasi θ -i-continuous, then $(gof)^{-1}(V) \in \theta$ -i-open in Y. Hence, g o f is strongly faintly M- θ -i-continuous.

(ii) similar (i).

Theorem 4.5:.For two function $f:(X, \mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_x, \mathcal{P}\mathcal{T}_x) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_y, \mathcal{P}\mathcal{T}_y)$ and $g:(Y, \mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_y, \mathcal{P}\mathcal{T}_y) \rightarrow (Z, \mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}_z, \mathcal{P}\mathcal{T}_z)$ the following properties are hold:

- (i) If, g is a surjective pre-M- θ -i -open and g o f is strongly faintly M- θ -i-continuous. then f is strongly faintly M- θ -i-continuous.
- (ii) If, g is a surjective pre-M- θ -i -closed and g o f is strongly faintly M- θ -i-continuous. then f is strongly faintly M- θ -i-continuous.

Proof. (i) Let $V \subseteq Z$ be a M- θ -i-open set. Since, g of is strongly faintly M- θ -i-continuous., then $(g \circ f)^{-1}$ (V) is θ -i-open in X. But, g is surjective pre-M- θ -i-open, then $g^{-1}(V)$ is M- θ -i-open set in Y. Therefore, f is faintly M- θ -i-continuous

(ii) Obvious

Definition 4.4: A function $f:(X, \mathcal{ST}_x, \mathcal{PT}_x) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{ST}_y, \mathcal{PT}_y)$ is called:

- (i) M- θ -i-open if $f(V) \in \theta$ -i-open in Y for each $V \in M$ - θ -i-open in X,
- (ii) M- θ -i-closed if $f(V) \in \theta$ -i-open in Y for each $V \in M$ - θ -i-closed in X.
- [11] A.I. EL-Maghrabi and M.A. AL-Juhani, M-open sets in topological spaces, Pioneer J. Math. Sciences, 4, no. 2, 213-230, (2011)
- [12] B. Roy and T. Noiri, Unification on strongly θ-continuous functions, Analele Universit at, ii de Vest, Timi, soara, Seria Matematic a Informatic a LI, 2, 115–123, (2013)
- [13] E. Ekici, On e-open sets, DP*-sets and DPE*-sets and decompositions of continuity , Arabian J. Sci. Eng., 33, no. 2A, 269 282, (2008)
- [14] A.I. EL-Maghrabi and M.A. AL-Juhani, Further properties on M-continuity, Proc. Math. Soc. Egypt, 22, 63-69, (2014)
- [15] A.I.EL-Maghrabi and M.A.AL-Juhani, New weak forms of faint continuity, IJISET International Journal of Innovative Science, Engineering & Technology, Vol. 1 Issue 4, 6, 285 295, (2014)
- [16] A.I. EL-Maghrabi and M.A. AL-Juhani, New types of functions by M-open sets, Taibah Univ. J. Sci., 7, 137-145, (2013)
- [17] M. Caldas, A strong form of strong θ continuity, General Mathematics Vol. 20, No. 4 ,27–42 , (2012).
- [18] A.A. Nase, Strongly β -irresolute functions, J.Natur. Sci. Math., 36, 199-206, (1996).
- [19] S. Fomin, Extensions of topological spaces, Ann. Math., 44, 471- 480, (1943)
- [20] S. Jafari, Some properties of quasi θ -continuous functions, Far East J. Math. Soc., 6, 689-696 , (1998).

حول الدوال القوية الضعيفة من النمط أM- θ - الفوقى الفوقى التبولوجي الفوقى

طه حمید جاسم أ ، ربع عمران رشید

قسم الرياضيات ، كلية علوم الحاسوب والرياضيات ، جامعة تكريت ، تكريت ، العراق I

²قسم الرياضيات ، كلية التربية للعلوم الصرفة ، جامعة تكريت ، تكريت ، العراق

الملخص

ISSN: 1813 - 1662

i-open ومن خلال هذا التعريف قدمنا صف من المفاهيم التبولوجيه (مجموعه مفتوحة من النمط i-open ومن خلال هذا التعريف قدمنا صف من النمط i-open وعممنا هذه المفاهيم M- θ , مجموعه مغلقة من النمط i-i-open والموال القوية الضعيفة من النمط i-i-open والموال القوية الضعيفة من النمط i-open وعممنا هذه المفاهيم M- θ , الدوال القوية من النمط i-open والمحتودة من النمط i-open ومن النمط i-open والمحتودة من النمط i-open ومن النمط i-open والمحتودة من النمط i-open ومن النمط i-open الدوال والمحتودة ومن النمط i-open i-ope