ISSN: 1813 – 1662 (Print) E-ISSN: 2415 – 1726 (On Line)

A SINGLE MUTUAL FIXED POINT THEOREM USING Φ- CONTRACTION IN PARTIAL -b- METRIC SPACES

Muayyad Mahmood Khalil

 $\label{eq:continuous} \textit{Dept. of Mathematics}, \textit{College of Education for Pure Sciences}, \textit{Tikrit University}, \textit{Tikrit}, \textit{Iraq} \\ \underline{\textbf{medomath80@gmail.com}}$

Abstract

In this paper we proved a common fixed point theorem by using Φ - contraction condition and also provided an example which supports our main result.

Keywords: Partial b-metric space, weakly compatible mapping, Φ – contraction, partial metric space.

1.introduction

In 1989, Bakhtin [1] submit the connotation of quasi-Metric Space as a popularization of Metric Spaces (M.SP). in (1993),czerwik [2, 3] propagated many remarks referred to the b-metric spaces (b-M.SP). in (1994),matthews [4] admitted the connotation of partial metric space (P.M.SP) in which the self distance of every point of space does not equal 0. in (1996), o'neill popularized the notion of Partial metric space (P.M.SP) by introduced non positive distances. in (2013), shukla [5] generalized both the concept of (b-M.SP) and (P.M.SP) by submitting the partial bmetric spaces (P.b-M.SP). For example, many of researchers recently studying this axiom and its popularization in various types of (M.SP) [6],[7],[8],[9],[10],[11], [12].

In this this paper we proved a common fixed point theorem for four maps in partial -b — metric space and also provided an example which supports our main result.

Definition 1.1 [13] Let M be a set and let $r \ge 1$ be a real no. A mapping $d: M \times M \to [0, \infty)$ is called a (b-M.SP) if \forall u,v,w \in M the following conditions are holding:

- i) d(u,v) = 0 iff u=v
- ii) d(u,v) = d(v,u);
- iii) $d(u,v) \le r[d(u,w) + d(w,v)]$:

The pair (M, d) is called a b-Metric space (b-M.SP). $r \ge 1$ is called the factor of (M, d).

Definition 1.2 [4] Suppose M be a nonempty set. A mapping $p: M \times M \to [0, \infty)$ is called (P.M.SP) if \forall u,v,w \in M the next terms are satisfied:

- i) u=v iff p(u,u) = p(u,v) = p(v,v);
- ii) $p(u,u) \le p(u,v)$;
- iii) p(u,v) = p(v,u);
- iv) $p(u,v) \le p(u,w) + p(w,v) p(w,w)$:

The pair (M; P) is called (P.M.SP).

Remark 1.3 It is clear that the (P.M.SP) need not be a (b-M.SP), since in a (b-M.SP) if u=v, then d(u,u)=d(u,v)=d(v,v)=0. But in a (P.M.SP) if u=v then p(u,u)=p(u,v)=p(v,v) maybe not equal to zero. Therefore the (P.M.SP) maybe not a (b-M.SP).

At the different side, Shukla [18] admit the concept of a (P.b-M.SP) as follows:

Definition 1.4 [5] Suppose M be a nonempty set and $r \ge 1$ be a real no. $P_b: M \times M \to [0, \infty)$ is called a (P.b-M.SP) if \forall u,v,w \in M the next terms are hold:

- i) $u = v \text{ iff } P_b(u, u) = P_b(u, v) = P_b(v, v);$
- ii) $P_b(u, u) \leq P_b(u, v)$;

- iii) $P_b(u, v) = P_b(v, u);$
- iv) $P_b(u, v) \le r[P_b(u, w) + P_b(w, v)] P_b(w; w)$: the pair $(M; P_b)$ is a (P.b-M.SP). $r \ge 1$ is called the factor of (M, P_b) .

Remark 1.5 The class of (P.b-M.SP) (M, P_b) is surely greater than the grade of (P.M.SP) , because a (P.M.SP) partial metric space is a particular kind of a (P.b-M.SP) (M, P_b) when r=1. Also, the grade of (P.b-M.SP) (M, P_b) is surely greater than the grade of (b-M.SP), because a (b-M.SP) is a particular kind of a (P.b-M.SP) (M, P_b) while the self - distance p(u; u) = 0.

the next examples discern that a (P.b-M.SP) on M need not be a (P.M.SP), nor a (b-M.SP) on M see also [14], [18].

Example 1.6 [5] Suppose M = [0,1). Let $P_b: M \times M \to [0,\infty)$ be a function whereas P_b (u; v) = $[\max \{u,v\}]^2 + |u-v|^2$, $\forall u,v \in M$. Then (M,P_b) is a (P.b-M.SP) on M and the coefficient r=2>1. But, P_b is not a (b-M.SP) nor a (P.M.SP) on M.

Proposition 1.7 [14] Every partial b-metric P_b defines a b - metric d_{P_b} , where

 $d_{P_{b}}\left(\mathbf{u},\,\mathbf{v}\right)=2\;\mathrm{P_{b}}\left(\mathbf{u},\,\mathbf{v}\right)-\mathrm{P_{b}}\left(\mathbf{u},\,\mathbf{u}\right)-\mathrm{P_{b}}\left(\mathbf{v},\,\mathbf{v}\right),\,\forall\;\mathbf{u},\,\mathbf{v}\in\mathbf{M}$

Definition 1.8 [14] A sequence $\{u_n\}$ in a (P.b-M.SP) (M, P_b) is called:

- i) P_b -convergent to a point $u \in M$ if $\lim_{n\to\infty} P_b(u, u_n) = P_b(u, u)$
- ii) a P_b -Cauchy sequence (C. Seq.) if $\lim_{n,m\to\infty} P_b$ (u_n,u_m) defined and is restricted;
- iii) A (P.b-M.SP) (M, P_b) is called P_b -complete if any P_b -(C. Seq.) $\{u_n\}$ in M is P_b approaches to a point $u \in M$ provided

$$\lim_{n,m\to\infty} P_b (u_n, u_m) = \lim_{n\to\infty} P_b (u_n, u) = P_b (u, u)$$

lemma:1.9 [14] A seq. $\{u_n\}$ is a P_b -(C. Seq.) in a (P.b-M.SP) (M, P_b) if and only if b--(C. Seq.) in the (b-M.SP) (M, d_{P_b}).

Lemma 1.10. [14] A (P.b-M.SP) (M, P_b) is P_b -Complete if and only if the (b-M.SP) (M, d_{P_b}) is b-Complete. Moreover, $\lim_{n,m\to\infty} d_{P_b}$ (u_n,u_m) = 0 iff

$$\lim_{n,m\to\infty} P_b(u_m, \mathbf{u}) = \lim_{n\to\infty} P_b(u_n, \mathbf{u}) = P_b(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})$$

Definition 1.11 [15]: The pair of the self-mapping A and S of a (M.SP.) (M, d) are said to be weakened Compatible if they commute at coincidence points. i.e., if $Au = Su \Rightarrow ASu = SAu$ for u in M.

2. Main Results

Theorem2.1: Suppose (M, P_b) be a (P.b-M.SP) with the factor $r \ge 1$. Suppose $A, B, C, D: M \to M$ be

mappings satisfying the following (2.1.1)

$$r.P_{b}\left(Au,Bv\right) \leq \Phi\left(\max\left\{\frac{P_{b}\left(Cu,Au\right)\cdot P_{b}\left(Dv,Bv\right)}{1+P_{b}\left(Cu,Dv\right)},P_{b}\left(Cu,Dv\right)\right\}\right)$$

For all $u,v \in Z$ and $\Phi:[0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ be monotonically non-decreasing continuous function with $\Phi(t) < t$ for t > 0.

$$(2.1.2) A(M) \subseteq D(M), B(M) \subseteq C(M)$$

(2.1.4) One of (A, C) and (B, D) is weakened Compatible.

So the mappings A, B, C and D have a single mutual fixed point in M.

Proof:- Choose $u_0, v_0 \in u$. From (2.1.2), \exists

sequences $\{u_n\}$ and $\{v_n\}$ in u provided

$$Au_{2n} = Du_{2n+1} = v_{2n}$$

$$Bu_{2n+1} = Cu_{2n+2} = v_{2n+1} \ \forall \ n = 0,1,2,...$$

Case – 1: Let $v_{2n} = v_{2n+1}$ for some n.

Claim:
$$v_{2n+1} = v_{2n+2}$$

Suppose $v_{2n+1} \neq v_{2n+2}$

From (2.1.1), we have that

$$r.P_{h}(v_{2n+1},v_{2n+2})$$

$$= r.P_b (Au_{2n+2}, Bu_{2n+1})$$

$$\begin{split} & \leq \Phi \left[\max \left\{ \frac{P_b \left(Cu_{2n+2}, Au_{2n+2} \right) \cdot P_b \left(Du_{2n+1}, Bu_{2n+1} \right)}{1 + P_b \left(Cu_{2n+2}, Du_{2n+1} \right)}, P_b \left(Cu_{2n+2}, Du_{2n+1} \right) \right\} \right] \\ & = \Phi \left[\max \left\{ \frac{P_b \left(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+2} \right) \cdot P_b \left(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1} \right)}{1 + P_b \left(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n} \right)}, P_b \left(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n} \right) \right\} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \Phi \left\lceil \max \left\{ \frac{{P_b}^2 \left({v_{2n+1}}, {v_{2n+2}} \right)}{1 + P_b \left({v_{2n}}, {v_{2n+1}} \right)}, P_b \left({v_{2n+1}}, {v_{2n+2}} \right) \right\} \right\rceil$$

$$=\Phi\left(P_{h}\left(v_{2n+1},v_{2n+2}\right)\right)$$

$$< P_b (v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+2})$$

Which is contradiction.

Hence $v_{2n+1} = v_{2n+2}$

Continuing in this way we can conclude that $v_{2n} = v_{2n+k}$

 $\therefore \{v_{2n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in M.

Case – 2:
$$v_n \neq v_{n+1} \ \forall n, \text{ put } P_n = P_d (v_n, v_{n+1})$$

From (2.1.1), we have

$$\begin{split} & r.P_{b}\left(Au_{2n},Bu_{2n+1}\right) \leq \Phi\left(\max\left\{\frac{P_{b}\left(u_{2n},Au_{2n}\right)\cdot P_{b}\left(Du_{2n+1},Bu_{2n+1}\right)}{1+P_{b}\left(u_{2n},Du_{2n+1}\right)},P_{b}\left(Cu_{2n},Du_{2n+1}\right)\right\}\right) \\ & = \Phi\left(\max\left\{\frac{P_{b}\left(v_{2n+1},v_{2n}\right)\cdot P_{b}\left(v_{2n},v_{2n+1}\right)}{1+P_{b}\left(v_{2n-1},v_{2n}\right)},P_{b}\left(v_{2n+1},v_{2n}\right)\right\}\right) \end{split}$$

If
$$\frac{P_b(v_{2n-1}, v_{2n}) \cdot P_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1})}{1 + P_b(v_{2n-1}, v_{2n})}$$
 is maximum, then

$$r \cdot P_n \left(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1} \right)$$

$$\leq \Phi\Bigg(\frac{P_{b}\left(v_{2n-1},v_{2n}\right)\cdot P_{b}\left(v_{2n},v_{2n+1}\right)}{1+P_{b}\left(v_{2n-1},v_{2n}\right)}\Bigg)$$

$$<\frac{P_{b}\left(v_{2n-1},v_{2n}\right)\cdot P_{b}\left(v_{2n},v_{2n+1}\right)}{1+P_{b}\left(v_{2n-1},v_{2n}\right)}$$

It follows that

$$1 + P_b \left(v_{2n-1}, v_{2n} \right) < \frac{1}{3} P_b \left(v_{2n-1}, v_{2n} \right) < P_b \left(v_{2n-1}, v_{2n} \right)$$

Which is a contradiction.

Hence $P_b(v_{2n-1}, v_{2n})$ is a maximum.

Thus

$$r.P_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}) \le \Phi(P_b(v_{2n-1}, v_{2n}))...(1)$$

$$< P_b (v_{2n-1}, v_{2n})$$

It follows that

$$P_{2n} = P_b v_{2n}, v_{2n+1} \frac{1}{r} \cdot P_b \left(v_{2n-1}, v_{2n} \right) \le P_b \left(v_{2n-1}, v_{2n} \right)$$

$$= P_{2n-1} \dots (2)$$

 $\therefore \{P_{2n}\}$ is non-increasing sequence of positive numbers. Hence it converges to some limit point $k \ge 0$.

Suppose k > 0.

Letting $n \to \infty$ in (1), we have that

$$r \cdot k \leq \Phi(k) < k$$

which is a contradiction

Hence k=0.

Thus
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P_{2n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} P_b (v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}) = 0 \cdot \dots (3)$$

Now we prove that $\{v_{2n}\}$ is a (C. Seq.).

For $n, m \in R$ with m > n. We have

$$P_b\left(v_{2n},v_{2m}\right)$$

$$\leq r \left[P_b \left(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1} \right) + P_b \left(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n} \right) \right] - P_b \left(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+1} \right)$$

$$\leq r.P_{b}\left(v_{2n},v_{2n+1}\right)+r^{2}\cdot P_{b}\left(v_{2n+1},v_{2n+2}\right)+...+r^{2m-2n}P_{b}\left(v_{2m-1},v_{2m}\right) (3)$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we have that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} P_b\left(v_{2n},v_{2m}\right) = 0 \cdot \dots (4)$$

Therefore $\{v_{2n}\}$ is a (C. Seq.) in M.

we can also prove $\{v_{2n+1}\}$ is a (C. Seq.) in M.

Therefore $\{v_n\}$ is a (C. Seq.) in M.

From Lemma (1.9), conclude that $\{v_n\}$ is a (C. Seq.)

in (b-M. SP.) (M, d_{P_b}) .

Suppose D(M) is Complete subspace of M.

Since $\{v_{2n}\}$ is a (C. Seq.) in composition with (b-M.

SP.)
$$(D(M), d_{P_b})$$
.

It follows that $\{v_{2n}\}$ approaches to x at D(M).

That is $\lim_{n \to \infty} d_{P_n}(v_{2n}, x) = 0$ for some $x \in D(M)$, there

exist $t \in M$ such that D(t) = x.

Since $\{v_n\}$ is (C. Seq.) and $v_{2n} \to x$, it follows that $v_{2n+1} \to x$.

From Lemma (1.10) and (3), we have that

$$P_b(x,x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} P_b(v_{2n},x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} P_b(v_{2n+1},x) = 0 \dots (5)$$

Now we prove that $\lim_{n\to\infty} P_b(At, v_{2n}) = P_b(At, x)$

Since by definition of d_P ,

$$d_{P_{b}}(At, v_{2n}) = 2P_{b}(At, v_{2n}) - P_{b}(At, At) - P_{b}(v_{2n}, v_{2n})$$

By def. to $d_{P_{\epsilon}}$,and (3) , (5) , see that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}d_{P_{b}}\left(Bt,v_{2n}\right)=\lim_{n\to\infty}P_{b}\left(Bt,v_{2n}\right)-P_{b}\left(At,At\right)$$

Implies that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P_b(Bt, v_{2n}) = P_b(Bt, x) \cdots (6)$$

From P_4 , we have that

$$P_{b}\left(Bt,x\right) \leq r \left\lceil P_{b}\left(Bt,v_{2n}\right) + P_{b}\left(v_{2n},x\right) \right\rceil - P_{b}\left(v_{2n+1},v_{2n+1}\right)$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we have that

$$P_b\left(Bt,x\right) \qquad \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} P_b\left(Bt,v_{2n}\right)$$

$$= s \cdot \lim_{b \to \infty} P_b \left(A u_{2n} \right), Bt$$

$$\leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \Phi\Bigg(\max\left\{\frac{P_{b}\left(u_{2n},Au_{2n}\right)\cdot P_{b}\left(Dt,Bt\right)}{1+P_{b}\left(Cu_{2n},Dt\right)},P_{b}\left(Cu_{2n},Dt\right)\right\}\Bigg)$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \Phi \left(\max \left\{ \frac{P_b\left(v_{2n-1}, v_{2n}\right) \cdot P_b\left(x, Bt\right)}{1 + P_b\left(v_{2n-1}, x\right)}, P_b\left(v_{2n-1}, x\right) \right\} \right)$$

$$=\Phi(\max\{0,0\})=0.$$

It is clear that Bt = x = Dt.

Since (B, D) is weakly compatible pair, we have Bx = Dx.

Now we claim that Bx = x.

Suppose $Bx \neq x$.

Consider

$$P_b\left(Bx,x\right) \le r \left[P_b\left(Bx,v_{2n}\right) + P_b\left(v_{2n},x\right)\right] - P\left(v_{2n},v_{2n}\right)$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we have that

$$P_b(B_x, x) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} r \cdot P_b(Bx, Au_{2n})$$

$$\leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \Phi\left(\max\left\{\frac{P_b\left(Cu_{2n},Au_{2n}\right)\cdot P_b\left(Dx,Bx\right)}{1+P_b\left(Cu_{2n},Du\right)},P_b\left(Cu_{2n},Dx\right)\right\}\right)$$

$$\leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \Phi\Bigg(\max\left\{\frac{P_{b}\left(v_{2n-1},v_{2n}\right)\cdot P_{b}\left(x_{\cdot},Bx_{\cdot}\right)}{1+P_{b}\left(v_{2n-1},Bx_{\cdot}\right)},P_{b}\left(v_{2n-1},Bx_{\cdot}\right)\right\}\Bigg)$$

$$= \Phi\left(\max\left\{0, P_{\mathbf{b}}\left(x, Bx\right)\right\}\right)$$

$$=\Phi(P_h(x,Bx))$$

$$\langle P_h(Bx,x),$$

which is a contradiction.

Hence
$$Bx = x = Dx$$
(7)

Therefore, x is common fixed point of B and D.

Since $B(M) \le C(M)$ we have that x = Bx = Cy for some $y \in M$.

From (2.1.1), we have that

 $r.P_b(Ay,Bx)$

$$\leq \Phi \left(\max \left\{ \frac{P_b\left(Cy,Ay\right) \cdot P_b\left(Dx,Bx\right)}{1 + P_b\left(Cy,Dx\right)}, P_b\left(Cy,Dx\right) \right\} \right)$$

$$= \Phi \left(\max \left\{ \frac{P_b(x, Ay) \cdot P_b(x, x)}{1 + P_b(x, x)}, P_b(x, x) \right\} \right)$$

$$=\Phi(\max\{0,0\})=0.$$

It is clear that Ay = x = Cy

Since (A, C) is weakly compatible pair, we have Ax = Cx.

Now we prove that Ax = x.

Suppose that $Ax \neq Cx$.

From (2.1.1), we have that

$$r.P_b(Ax,x) = r \cdot P_b(Ax,Bx)$$

$$\leq \Phi \left(\max \left\{ \frac{P_b \left(Cx, Ax \right) \cdot P_b \left(Dx, Bx \right)}{1 + P_b \left(Cx, Dx \right)}, P_b \left(Cx, Dx \right) \right\} \right)$$

$$\leq \Phi\left(\max\left\{\frac{P_{b}\left(x,Ax\right)\cdot P_{b}\left(x,x\right)}{1+P_{b}\left(x,Ax\right)},P_{b}\left(x,Ax\right)\right\}\right)$$

$$=\Phi\left(\max\left\{0,P_{b}\left(x,Ax\right)\right\}\right)$$

$$= \Phi(P_h(x,Ax)) < P_h(x,Ax),$$

which is contradiction.

Hence $Ax = x = Cx \dots (8)$

From (7) and (8), we have

Therefore, x is mutual fixed point of A, B, C and D.

To prove singularity let z is another mutual fixed point of A, B, C and D. such that $x \neq z$.

From (2.1.1), we have that

$$r.P_b(x,z) = r \cdot P_b(Ax,Bz)$$

$$\leq \Phi\left(\max\left\{\frac{P_{b}\left(x,Ax\right)\cdot P_{b}\left(Dz,Bz\right)}{1+P_{b}\left(Cx,Dz\right)},P_{b}\left(Cx,Dz\right)\right\}\right)$$

$$=\Phi\left(\max\left\{\frac{P_{b}\left(x,x\right)\cdot P_{b}\left(z,z\right)}{1+P_{b}\left(x,z\right)},P_{b}\left(x,z\right)\right\}\right)$$

$$=\Phi\left(\max\left\{0,P_{h}\left(x,z\right)\right\}\right)$$

$$=\Phi(P_h(x,z))$$

$$\langle P_h(x,z),$$

And that represent a contradiction.

Hence x is single mutual fixed point of A, B, C and D.

The following example illustrates our main theorem

Example 2.2: Suppose M = [0,1) be (P.b-M.SP) with

$$P_b: M \times M \to [0,\infty)$$
 defined by $P_b(u,v) = \left[\max\{u,v\}\right]^2$

 $\forall u, v \in M \text{ Clearly } (M, P_b) \text{ be complete (P.b-M.SP)}$

with r = 2. Define that mapping $A,B,C,D:M \to M$ by

$$A(M) = \frac{u}{3\sqrt{1+u}}$$
, $B(M) = \frac{u}{6\sqrt{1+u}}$, $C(M) = \frac{u}{6}$, $D(M) = \frac{u}{3}$

ISSN: 1813 – 1662 (Print) E-ISSN: 2415 – 1726 (On Line)

Also $\emptyset: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ by $\emptyset(t) = \frac{t}{2}$ Then A, B, C and D satisfies all conditions of

References

- [1] I. A. Bakhtin, The contraction principle in quasi metric spaces, It. Funct. Anal., 30 (1989), 26-37.
- [2] S. Czerwik, Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b-metric spaces, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena. 46 (1998), 263 276.
- [3] S. Czerwik, Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces, Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Osrav., 1 (1993), 5-11.
- [4] S. G. Matthews, Partal metric topology Proc. 8th Summer Conference on General Topology and Applications, Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci., 728 (1994), 183-197.
- [5] S. Shukla, Partial b-metric spaces and fixed point theorems, Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics, doi:101007/s00009-013-0327-4, (2013).
- [6] A. Kaewcharoen, T. Yuying, Unique common fixed point theorems on partial metric spaces, Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications, 7 (2014), 90-101.
- [7] C. Vetroa, F. Vetrob, Common fixed points of mappings satisfying implicit relations in partial metric spaces, Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications, 6 (2013), 152-161.
- [8] T. Abdeljawad, J. Alzabut, A. Mukheimer, Y. Zaidan, Banach contraction principle for
- Cyclical mappings on partial metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, 2012, 2012:154, 7 pp. [9] T. Abdeljawad, J. Alzabut, A. Mukheimer, Y. Zaidan, Best Proximity Points For Cyclical Contraction Mappings With 0-Boundedly Compact Decompositions, Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications, 15 (2013), 678 685.
- [10] H. Nashinea, M. Imdadb, M. Hasanc, Common fixed point theorems under rational contractions in complex valued metric spaces, Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications, 7 (2014), 42-50.

Theorem 2.1 and 0 is unique common fixed point in M.

- [11] W. Shatanawia, H. Nashineb, A generalization of Banach's contraction principle for nonlinear contraction in a partial metric space, Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications, 5 (2012), 37-43.
- [12] H. Aydi, Some fixed point results in ordered partial metric spaces, Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications, 3 (2011), 210 217.
- [13] H. Aydi, M. Bota, E. Karapinar, S. Mitrovic, A fixed point theorem for set valued quasi-contractions in b-metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory and its Applications, 2012, 2012;88, 8 pp.
- [14] Z. Mustafa, J. R. Roshan, V. Parvaneh, Z. Kadelburg, Some common fixed point result in ordered partial b-metric spaces, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, (2013), 2013:562.
- [15] K. Jha, R.P. Pant and S.L. Singh, On the existence of common fixed points for compatible mappings, Punjab Univ. J. Math., 37 (2005), 39 48. [16] E. Karapinar, R. P. Agarwal, A note on Coupled fixed point theorems for α ψ contractive-type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, 2013, 2013:216, 16 pp.
- [17] E. Karapinar, B. Samet, Generalized α ψ -contractive type mappings and related fixed point theorems with applications, Abstract and Applied Analysis, (2012), Art. ID 793486, 17 pp. 1.7, 1.8
- [18] M. Khan, M. Swaleh, S. Sessa, Fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., 30 (1984), 1 9
- [19] B. Samet, C. Vetro, P. Vetro, Fixed point theorems for α - ψ contractive type mappings, Nonlinear Analysis, 75 (2012), 2154-2165.

-b-مبرهنة النقطة الثابتة المشتركة المنفردة باستخدام انكماش Φ في الفضاءات المترية الجزئية

مؤيد محمود خليل

قسم الرباضيات ، كلية التربية للعلوم الصرفة ، جامعة تكربت ، تكربت ، العراق

الملخص

تم في هذا البحث دراسة مبرهنة النقطة الثابتة المشتركة الوحيدة واثباتها باستخدام شرط انكماش Φ وتم في النهاية إعطاء مثال توضيحي يدعم النتيجة الرئيسية التى بنى عليها هذا البحث.